

Conditional Negation of The abc Conjecture

JinHua Fei

ChangLing Company of Electronic Technology Baoji Shannxi P.R.China

Email: feijinhuayoujian@msn.com

Abstract. In this paper, the abc conjecture is negated under certain conditions.

Keyword. the abc conjecture.

MR(2000) Subject Classification 11D99

The abc conjecture is a very famous and difficult problem. In this paper, we got some results, I hope it can help solve the abc conjecture completely.

The abc conjecture. let $\varepsilon > 0$, a, b, c are three nonzero pairwise

coprime integers such that $a = b + c$, then

$$\max(|a|, |b|, |c|) \leq C(\varepsilon) (\text{rad}(abc))^{1+\varepsilon}$$

Where $\text{rad}(N) = \prod_{p|N} p$, $C(\varepsilon)$ is a positive constant related to ε .

See page 483 of the references [1]

In this paper, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem Let M be any positive integer and $M \geq 10$,

then when $C(\varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon^{-1+\frac{2}{M}} C_0$, the abc conjecture is wrong.

where C_0 is any given positive constant. it has nothing to do with ε .

Lemma. Let k and m are the positive integers,

If $(k, m) = 1$, then $k^{\varphi(m)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$.

where $\varphi(m)$ be Euler's function.

See page 25 of the references [2].

Now, we begin our proof.

Let M be any positive integer and $M \geq 10$.

In the Lemma, we take $k = 2$, $m = p^M$, the prime number $p \geq 8C_0$,

where C_0 is any given positive constant in the Theorem.

By the Lemma, we have

$$2^{\varphi(p^M)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^M}, \text{ namely } 2^{\varphi(p^M)} = 1 + np^M$$

we write $a = 2^{\varphi(p^M)}$, $b = 1$, $c = np^M$, then $a = b + c$

Evident $(a, b) = (2^{\varphi(p^M)}, 1) = 1$, $(c, b) = (np^M, 1) = 1$.

Because $a = 1 + c$, therefore $(a, c) = 1$.

By the abc conjecture, we have

$$c \leq C(\varepsilon) (\text{rad}(abc))^{1+\varepsilon}, \quad \text{namely } np^M \leq C(\varepsilon) \left(\text{rad} \left(2^{\varphi(p^M)} np^M \right) \right)^{1+\varepsilon},$$

According to the definition of $\text{rad}(N)$, we have

$$\text{rad} \left(2^{\varphi(p^M)} np^M \right) \leq 2np, \quad \text{therefore } np^M \leq C(\varepsilon) (2np)^{1+\varepsilon},$$

$$\text{namely } np^M \leq C(\varepsilon) 2^{1+\varepsilon} n^{1+\varepsilon} p^{1+\varepsilon}, \quad p^{M-1} \leq \varepsilon^{-1+\frac{2}{M}} C_0 2^{1+\varepsilon} n^\varepsilon p^\varepsilon.$$

$$\text{Because } n \leq p^{-M} 2^{\varphi(p^M)} \leq p^{-2} 2^{p^M}, \quad \text{therefore } n^\varepsilon \leq p^{-2\varepsilon} 2^{\varepsilon p^M},$$

$$\text{we take } \varepsilon = p^{-M}, \quad \text{then } n^\varepsilon \leq 2p^{-2\varepsilon}, \quad \varepsilon^{-1+\frac{2}{M}} = p^{M-2},$$

$$\text{Therefore } p^{M-1} \leq p^{M-2} C_0 2^{2+\varepsilon} p^{-\varepsilon}, \quad p \leq 4C_0$$

Previously, we already assumed that $p \geq 8C_0$, hence the contradiction.

This completes the proof of the theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1] Henri Cohen, Number Theory Volume II: Analytic and Modern Tools
Springer Science + Business Media, LLC 2007
- [2] Hua Loo Keng, Introduction to Number Theory, Springer-Verlag
Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1982.

Negation of The Strong abc Conjecture

JinHua Fei

ChangLing Company of Electronic Technology Baoji Shannxi P.R.China

Email: feijinhuayoujian@msn.com

Abstract. In this paper, we negate the strong abc conjecture.

Keyword. the abc conjecture.

The abc conjecture is a very famous and difficult problem. In this paper,

we give a weak result. Hope to help solve the abc conjecture.

The abc conjecture. let $\varepsilon > 0$, if a, b and c are three nonzero pairwise coprime integers such that $a = b + c$, then

$$\max(|a|, |b|, |c|) \leq C(\varepsilon) (\text{rad}(abc))^{1+\varepsilon}$$

Where $\text{rad}(N) = \prod_{p|N} p$, $C(\varepsilon)$ is a positive constant related to ε .

See page 483 of the references [1]

Under the same conditions, we define

the strong abc conjecture. $\max(|a|, |b|, |c|) \leq C (\text{rad}(abc))^{1+\varepsilon}$

Where C is a positive constant, it has nothing to do with ε .

In this paper, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem the strong abc conjecture is wrong.

Lemma. Let k and m are the positive integers,

If $(k, m) = 1$, then $k^{\varphi(m)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$.

where $\varphi(m)$ be Euler's function.

See page 25 of the references [2].

Below, we give the proof of the theorem.

In the Lemma, we take $k = 2$, $m = p^2$, the prime number $p \geq 10C$.

where C is a positive constant in the strong abc conjecture.

By the Lemma, we have

$$2^{\varphi(p^2)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}, \text{ namely } 2^{\varphi(p^2)} = 1 + np^2$$

we write $a = 2^{\varphi(p^2)}$, $b = 1$, $c = np^2$, then $a = b + c$

Evident $(a, b) = (2^{\varphi(p^2)}, 1) = 1$, $(c, b) = (np^2, 1) = 1$.

Because $a = 1 + c$, therefore $(a, c) = 1$.

By the strong abc conjecture, we have

$$c \leq C (\text{rad}(abc))^{1+\varepsilon}, \text{ namely } np^2 \leq C \left(\text{rad} \left(2^{\varphi(p^2)} np^2 \right) \right)^{1+\varepsilon},$$

According to the definition of $rad(N)$, we have

$$rad\left(2^{\varphi(p^2)}np^2\right)\leq 2np, \quad \text{therefore } np^2\leq C(2np)^{1+\varepsilon},$$

$$\text{namely } np^2\leq C2^{1+\varepsilon}n^{1+\varepsilon}p^{1+\varepsilon}, \quad p\leq C2^{1+\varepsilon}n^\varepsilon p^\varepsilon.$$

$$\text{Because } n\leq p^{-2}2^{\varphi(p^2)}\leq p^{-2}2^{p^2}, \quad \text{therefore } n^\varepsilon\leq p^{-2\varepsilon}2^{\varepsilon p^2},$$

$$\text{we take } \varepsilon=p^{-2}, \quad \text{then } n^\varepsilon\leq 2p^{-2\varepsilon},$$

$$\text{therefore } p\leq C2^{2+\varepsilon}p^{-\varepsilon}\leq 4C,$$

Previously, we already assumed that $p\geq 10C$, hence the contradiction.

This completes the proof of the theorem.

REFERENCES

[3] Henri Cohen, Number Theory Volume II: Analytic and Modern Tools
Springer Science + Business Media, LLC 2007

[4] Hua Loo Keng, Introduction to Number Theory, Springer-Verlag
Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1982.